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REACH Students Overview
Introduction

REACH Students (Recognizing Educators Advancing CHicago) is the Chicago Public Schools’ system of educator evaluation and support. REACH Students (REACH) was created in response to a 2010 law passed by the state of Illinois. The Performance Evaluation Reform Act (PERA) requires that all school districts implement evaluation systems inclusive of student growth for educators and principals. REACH was built to provide better feedback to all educators including librarians, counselors, educational support specialists (ESS) and related service providers (RSPs), with the goal of improving their professional practice and increasing student learning.

PERA mandates that educator evaluations include an assessment of professional practice and student growth measures for most educators. Chicago Public Schools’ Frameworks define effective practice and serve as roadmaps for continuous improvement. Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE) requires evaluators to undergo training and certification before observing and rating any professional practice.

This handbook provides guidance regarding the protocols and expectations related to the implementation of the REACH during the 2022-2023 school year.
2022-2023 REACH
Essential Updates
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Important Dates</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>August 15-19, 2022</strong></td>
<td>REACH Orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>August 15 - 26, 2022</strong></td>
<td>Performance Tasks Order Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>September 19 - November 4, 2022</strong></td>
<td>BOY Performance Tasks Administration Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Two (2) BOY PTs required for all teachers teaching year-long classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>September 19, 2022</strong></td>
<td>First Day Observations can be conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Pre-observation Conferences can commence prior to September 19, 2022 and must be held 5 or fewer school days before the observation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>January 9 - February 10, 2023</strong></td>
<td>MOY 3rd Qtr Performance Task Window (where applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Semester classroom teachers must administer the BOY and EOY to the last group of students they see</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● New/transfer teachers in classrooms with no previous BOY PT scores must administer the BOY asap and enter scores into Checkpoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>January 31 - February 18, 2023</strong></td>
<td>EOY PT Order Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>March 20 - April 14, 2023</strong></td>
<td>MOY 4th Qtr Performance Task Window (where applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Quarterly and block schedule classroom teachers must administer the BOY and EOY to the last group of students they see</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TBD</strong></td>
<td>Roster Verification Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>April 24 - May 26, 2023</strong></td>
<td>EOY Performance Tasks Administration Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● (2) EOY PTs required for all teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>May 19, 2023</strong></td>
<td>Last Day Observations can be conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Post-observation conferences can be held after May 19, 2023 and must take place within 3-10 school days after the observation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>May 22, 2023</strong></td>
<td>Professional Responsibilities (4b-4e) due for PATs and Tenured Teachers on Annual Evaluation Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2022-2023 REACH Procedural Expectations

REACH Orientation and Handbook
● REACH Orientation must be provided to all educators by the 20th day of school (September 19, 2022) by their evaluators.

● The Educator Effectiveness Department will provide a recorded webinar (via Learning Hub) as an optional delivery method for educators hired after the 20th day of school. This webinar will be available September 20, 2022.

REACH Observation Protocols
● Evaluators are encouraged to review and utilize REACH Best Practice Protocols when planning and executing REACH evaluations.

● All REACH observations are expected to be conducted during in-person instruction. REACH observations will not be conducted in remote settings.

● Should a classroom/pod have to quarantine and/or transition to a remote instructional setting, the educator should not be observed during the first week of the return to the in-person instruction.

REACH Plan Labels and Required Number of Observations
● Plan labels for 2022-2023 will be updated in the Reflect and Learn System (RLS).

● Educators are encouraged to review their plan label before the start of the REACH process.

Student Growth, Performance Tasks and Roster Verification
● For the 2022-2023 school year, value added will not be utilized as a student growth metric. Student growth data will be derived from REACH performance task scores where applicable.

● All evaluated teachers will review Performance Task (PT) Rosters during Roster Verification to ensure they receive credit for the correct PTs and students.
Utilization of Archived REACH Observations from 2019-2020 School Year

- Protocols for utilization of observations from the 2019-2020 school year have been negotiated with CTU and were published in spring of 2020.
- Educators received either a summative report or an informational report. If the Educator:
  (a) received summative report based on the 2019-2020 agreement then that summative rating counts as her/his official current REACH rating;
  (b) received an informational report based on the 2019-2020 agreement then:
    (i) 2019-2020 observation are archived and not used;
    (ii) The educator will restart their observation cycle;
    (iii) The educator's last summative rating is considered as her/his official current REACH rating.
- Educators who were eligible to appeal their ratings based on the 2019-2020 summative reports have been notified of the process and opportunities. Window for appeals and grievances based on the 2019-2020 observation data is closed.

2021-2022 Summative Reports

- Summative reports are scheduled for release in September. Not all teachers will receive a Summative Report.
- Probationary Appointed Teachers (PATs) and Tenured Educators on an Annual Plan who opted in were evaluated during SY23.
- The chart below shows the planned reporting structure.
- The window for grievances and appeals related to 2021-2022 school year evaluations, will open once Summative Reports are released.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educator Plan</th>
<th>Report Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenure Biennial</td>
<td>• No report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure Annual (did not opt in)</td>
<td>• No report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure Annual (opt-in)</td>
<td>• <strong>Summative</strong> or <strong>Informational</strong> (depending of the completion of required evaluation components)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| PAT                   | • **Summative** (if PAT worked 150 or more school days and received all observations)  
                       | • **Default Summative** (if PAT worked 150 or more school days and did not receive all observations)  
                       | • **Informational** (if PAT worked less than 150 school days, regardless of number of completed observations; tenure progression will not take place) |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2022-2023 Evaluation Plan</th>
<th>Number of Observations Required</th>
<th>Are Professional Responsibilities (4b-4e) required?</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAT</td>
<td>2 Formal and 1 Informal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenured Annual</td>
<td>2 Formal and 1 Informal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The educator will create a Professional Development Plan (PDP) as directed by the Talent Office. REACH observations may not begin until PDP is completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenured Biennial</td>
<td>1 Formal and 1 Informal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>If time does not allow for both observations to be conducted then formal observation should be prioritized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenured Remediation</td>
<td>Completion of the Remediation cycle</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The educator will engage in the remediation process as directed by the Talent Office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT</td>
<td>No observations required*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Time</td>
<td>same as tenured biennial 1 Formal and 1 Informal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tenured Educators Requiring a Professional Development Plan (PDP)

- A PDP is required for Tenured teachers with a most recent rating of Developing. All teachers in this category will create a new PDP for SY23.

- Administrators and Educators are expected to complete, upload and sign off a PDP plan.
  - No observation should take place prior to uploading the PDP in the Reflect and Learn System (RLS).
  - Pre-conferences and/or Observations should not take place the same day that the PDP is signed/uploaded.

- Teachers who require a PDP will be notified by the Talent Office.
- Questions regarding PDPs should be sent to performanceimprovement@cps.edu.

Tenured Educators Requiring a Remediation Plan

- Tenured educators who were on a Remediation Plan during 2021-2022 school year and have not completed their Remediation cycle will continue with their Remediation Plan in SY23.

- Upon review of the SY22 Summative Data, teachers who require a Remediation Plan will be notified by the Talent Office.

- Questions regarding Remediation Plans should be sent to performanceimprovement@cps.edu.
Teachers should only administer PTs in subjects they are responsible for teaching.

All teachers [including Special Education, English Language (EL) and Specialists] are required to administer \textbf{two (2) BOY PTs} and the corresponding \textbf{two (2) EOY PTs}:

- Two different PTs for different grade levels or courses (e.g. Music Grade 1 and Music Grade 4; HS Biology and HS Physics)
- OR
- Two class sections for the same subject area and grade level (e.g. Music Grade 1 to two separate class periods).

**Special Considerations for Low Numbers of Assessed Students**

If the total number of students in the class assessed is less than 20:

- Teachers should administer PTs to additional classes or groups of students until at least 20 total students are assessed (e.g. If the teacher has (8) students over five class periods, the teacher should administer (2) BOY and (2) EOY PTs to 3 separate class periods).

If a teacher is responsible for fewer than 20 total students across all of the classes or groups they teach:

- Teachers should administer two different subject PTs to all students they teach (e.g. Science Grade 6 and Social Studies Grade 6)
- OR
- Teachers who only teach one subject should administer the appropriate task to all students they teach.

Co-teachers (e.g. Diverse Learner Inclusion, English Language (EL) teachers, etc)

- Should work with the teacher of record to co-administer (2) different PTs in subjects and/or grade levels they are responsible for teaching, with only one teacher entering scores.
- During Roster Verification, both teachers will need to list the (2) Performance Tasks and students for whom they expect to receive student growth credit.
REACH Measurement Overview
REACH Measurement Overview

Pre-K - 12th grade teachers are required to administer two (2) BOY and two (2) EOY REACH Performance Tasks for the 2022-23 school year.

Student growth scores will comprise 30% of all Pre-K - 12th grade teachers overall REACH Summative Scores. Please reference the table on pg.18 for more detailed information about the utilization of Performance Tasks as sole student growth measure for 2022-2023 school year.

The REACH Summative Rating, for most educators, is determined by two components: Professional Practice is a means of observing educators within CPS using discipline-specific frameworks that provide a common definition of effective practice levels. Each framework is used to analyze and rate evidence gathered during observations for the purposes of REACH. In using a framework to evaluate an educator’s practice, evaluators should consider the preponderance of evidence and not expect to see everything described in each component of the framework in every observation or conference.

- Classroom educators will either be under the CPS Framework for Classroom Teachers or the CPS Framework for Teacher-Librarians.
- Non-classroom educators are rated by using their discipline-specific framework; CPS Framework for Related Service Providers (RSPs), CPS Framework for Counselors, and CPS Framework for Educational Support Staff (ESS).

- Student Growth will be measured by the percentage of students attaining growth on two (2) BOY and two (2) EOY REACH Performance Tasks between the appropriate Beginning of the Year (BOY) and End of the Year (EOY) PT Windows during the 2022-2023 school year.
The REACH Category Chart below delineates educator categories and their corresponding weights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educators</th>
<th>Professional Practice</th>
<th>Student Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Performance Tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category A:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All CPS educators who are evaluated on either the CPS Framework for Teaching or the CPS Framework for Teacher Librarians (including Special Education, English Language (EL) Specialists).</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30% Based on two (2) Performance Tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category B:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselors, Related Service Providers (RSP), and Educational Support Specialists (ESS)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reach Observations, Performance Task and Roster Verification Schedule at a Glance

The timeline below covers one school year, and displays the approximate windows of time when each event should take place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEPT</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUNE</th>
<th>JULY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Observation 1</td>
<td>Biennial</td>
<td>FORMAL Observation Year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Observation 2</td>
<td>Biennial</td>
<td>INFORMAL Observation Year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Performance Tasks**
- **BOY Tasks**
  - Qualified Educators administer BOY tasks; then score and enter results.

- **MOY Tasks**
  - Qualified Educators administer MOY tasks; then score and enter results.

- **EOY Tasks**
  - Qualified Educators administer EOY tasks; then score and enter results.

**Discussion of Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities**
Throughout the school year, educators and evaluators discuss evidence and provide narratives for components 4b-4e.

**Classroom and Performance Task Roster Verification**
CPS Frameworks Overview
CPS and the Chicago Teachers Union (CTU) worked collaboratively to develop nine CPS Frameworks that provide a common language and standards for professional practice for both administrators and educators. The Frameworks support educators as they improve their practice and grow professionally.

Domains, Components, and Elements
All CPS Frameworks are organized into 4 domains. Each domain has 4-5 components measuring distinct aspects of practice. Educators receive ratings at the component-level based on the evidence gathered during formal and informal observations.

Domain contains four or five lettered components.
Component defines distinct aspects of practice.
Elements which are designed to further delineate aspects of the component and to further distinguish levels of performance.

The correlation between domain, components and elements is illustrated below:

Domain 3: Instruction

a. Communicating with Students
   - Standards-Based Learning Objectives
   - Directions for Activities
   - Content Delivery and Clarity
   - Use of Oral and Written Language

b. Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques
   - Use of Low-and High-level Questioning
   - Discussion Techniques
   - Student Participation and Explanation of Thinking

c. Engaging Students in Learning
   - Standards-Based Objectives and Task Complexity
   - Access to Suitable and Engaging Texts
   - Structure, Pacing and Grouping

d. Using Assessment in Instruction
   - Assessment Performance Levels
   - Monitoring of Student Learning with Checks for Understanding
   - Student Self-Assessment and Monitoring of Progress

e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness
   - Lesson Adjustment
   - Response to Student Needs
   - Persistence
   - Intervention and Enrichment
Framework for Teaching
Framework for Teaching

The CPS Framework for Teaching provides a common definition and standards for teacher professional practice. Modeled after Charlotte Danielson's 2011 Framework for Teaching - the CPS Framework for Teaching was revised in collaboration with CTU and Charlotte Danielson to emphasize its connections to Common Core State Standards and district expectations for rigorous, well-rounded instruction.

The classroom teacher’s REACH Students Rating is based 70% on the Professional Practice Score. The following is the breakdown of weights for each domain:

- **Domain 1: Planning and Preparation**
  - 20%

- **Domain 2: Classroom Environment**
  - 25%

- **Domain 3: Instruction**
  - 40%

- **Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities**
  - 25%
The Framework for Teaching is organized into four domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain 1: Planning and Preparation</th>
<th>Domain 2: Classroom Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What a Teacher does in Preparation of Teaching</td>
<td>The culture of the classroom characterized by the relationships and management of the room for the purpose of learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy</td>
<td>2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students</td>
<td>2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c: Selecting Learning Objectives</td>
<td>2c: Managing Classroom Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d: Designing Coherent Instruction</td>
<td>2d: Managing Student Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e: Designing Student Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities</th>
<th>Domain 3: Instruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional responsibility and behavior outside of the classroom</td>
<td>What a teacher does in engaging students in learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a: Reflecting on Teaching and Learning</td>
<td>3a: Communication with Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b: Maintains Accurate Records</td>
<td>3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c: Communicating with Families</td>
<td>3c: Engaging Students in Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d: Growing and Developing Professionally</td>
<td>3d: Using Assessment in Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4e: Demonstrating Professionalism</td>
<td>3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPS Framework for Teaching with Critical Attributes

CPS and the CTU worked together to develop critical attributes that describe teaching at each level of performance within the CPS Framework for Teaching. These critical attributes are intended to provide further clarity for educators and administrators. Critical attributes represent, on a small scale, descriptions of what one might see in a classroom or conference.
## Domain 1: Planning and Preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Distinguished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy</td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates little to no knowledge of relevant content standards within and/or across grade levels. Demonstrates no knowledge of the disciplinary way of reading, writing and/or thinking within the subject area. The teacher demonstrates little understanding of prerequisite knowledge important to student learning of the content/skills. Teacher's plans reflect little or no understanding of the range of pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content/skills being taught.</td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates knowledge of the relevant content standards within the grade level but displays a lack of awareness of how these concepts relate to one another and/or build across grade levels. The teacher demonstrates some knowledge of the disciplinary way of reading, writing, and/or thinking within the subject area. The teacher demonstrates some understanding of prerequisite learning, although knowledge of relationships among topics may be inaccurate or incomplete. Teacher's plans reflect a limited range of pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content/skills being taught.</td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates knowledge of the relevant content standards, within and across grade levels. The teacher demonstrates knowledge of the disciplinary way of reading, writing, and/or thinking within the subject area. Demonstrates an accurate understanding of prerequisite learning and relationships among topics and concepts. Teacher's plans reflect a range of effective pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content/skills being taught.</td>
<td>Teacher demonstrates knowledge of the relevant content standards within the grade level and across grade levels, as well as how these standards relate to other disciplines. Teacher's plans demonstrate extensive knowledge of the disciplinary way of reading, writing, and/or thinking within the subject area. The Teacher demonstrates a deep understanding of prerequisite learning and relationships among topics and concepts. Teacher's plans include a range of effective pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content/skills being taught and anticipate student misconceptions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Critical Attributes

1. Unit and/or lesson plans do not include content standards.
2. Unit and/or lesson plans do not include strategies that require reading, writing or thinking in the content area.
3. Unit and/or lesson plans include content that is not sequenced based on prior lessons or prior student knowledge.
4. Unit and/or lesson plans include instructional strategies that are not appropriate for the content or students’ learning styles.

1. Unit and/or lesson plans include content standards but they may not be entirely appropriate for the grade level or properly sequenced.
2. Unit and/or lesson plans include some strategies that require reading, writing or thinking in the content area but they may not be fully described or appropriately selected.
3. Unit and/or lesson plans include some gaps in appropriate content or the sequence of the content does not fully build on prior lessons or student knowledge.
4. Unit and/or lesson plans include a limited range of instructional strategies that are somewhat appropriate for the content and students’ learning styles.

1. Unit and/or lesson plans include content standards that are grade-level appropriate and are properly sequenced.
2. Unit and/or lesson plans include appropriate and articulated strategies requiring reading, writing or thinking in the content area.
3. Unit and/or lesson plans include content that is well sequenced and builds on prior lessons and student knowledge.
4. Unit and/or lesson plans include a diverse range of instructional strategies that are entirely appropriate for the content and students’ learning styles.

In addition to the characteristics of “proficient,”

1. Unit and/or lesson plans include connections to content standards from related disciplines.
2. Unit and/or lesson plans include strategies that connect reading, writing or thinking within the content area or to related disciplines.
3. Unit and/or lesson plans include strategies to clarify connections between major concepts in the content.
4. Unit and/or lesson plans include instructional strategies to anticipate student questions and student interest.
CPS Framework for Teaching Companion Guide
The CPS Framework for Teaching Companion Guide lists unique characteristics of the teaching practice for the content areas/settings, as well as examples of practice at the proficient and distinguished rating levels of performance. Educators and school administrators may wish to use these resources as a reference when reflecting on practice and during the REACH observation cycle.

Companion Guide / Addenda
Each addendum/companion guide encompasses work and input from educators within CPS with the goal of providing specific examples of what the classroom setting and instructional practices may look like under each respective Framework. It is recommended for educators and evaluators to reference these materials during pre- and post-observation conferences. The following addenda are available on the CPS Framework for Teaching section on the Knowledge Center:

- Arts Addendum
- English Language Learner (ELL) Addendum
- Physical Education (PE) Addendum
- Special Education (SPED) Addendum
- School Counselor Companion Guide
- School Librarian Companion Guide
- Preschool - 2nd Addendum

Special Education (SPED) Critical Attributes
In addition to the CPS Framework for Teaching with Critical Attributes, CPS and the CTU worked together to develop the CPS Special Education (SPED) Critical Attributes to help describe special education teaching at each level of performance in the Framework for Teaching. The CPS SPED Critical Attributes are intended to interpret the Framework for special education settings, taking into account the special needs of our students and how that may affect teaching practices, to provide further clarity for teachers and administrators. These critical attributes represent descriptions of what one might observe in a classroom. They are not meant to be exhaustive and should not be used as checklists to determine or justify ratings.

REACH Observations in Co-teaching Settings
CPS and the CTU convened a group of educators, principals, Office of Diverse Learners (ODLSS), and the Talent Office to develop additional resources to support educators in a co-teaching setting. The CPS Co-teaching Guidance provides assistance to both educators and evaluators around this teaching model. Together the group generated best practices for conducting REACH observations in the context of each co-teaching approach. The special considerations identify unique characteristics of each approach to further clarify elements of practice and implementation. It is recommended that school administrators and co-teachers review these considerations together to establish a common understanding prior to the REACH observation series.
Framework for Teacher-Librarians
Framework for Teacher-Librarians

Teacher-Librarians have a dedicated framework adapted from Charlotte Danielson's Framework for a Library/Media Specialist. The CPS Framework for Teacher-Librarians provides a clear definition of instruction and gives all Teacher-Librarians within CPS a common language for their practice. It enables Teacher-Librarians to grow professionally and to have a direction for improving their instructional practice.

In addition, the CPS Framework for Teacher-Librarians with Critical Attributes provides ways for educators to reflect on their individual practice while suggesting new ways to think about improving teaching within each component. The CPS Framework for Teacher-Librarians Companion Guide was created to provide additional framework support and understanding.

The Teacher-Librarian's REACH Students Rating is based 70% on the Professional Practice Score. The following is the breakdown of weights for each domain:

**CPS Framework for Teacher-Librarians**

**Domain Weights for Professional Practice**

- **Domain 1: Planning and Preparation** - 30%
- **Domain 2: The Environment** - 35%
- **Domain 3: Instruction** - 25%
- **Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities** - 10%
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Framework for School Counselors
Framework for School Counselors

The Framework for School Counselors include content and language from the American School Counselor Association (ASCA) National model. The Framework is designed to provide a common language to improve practice.

School Counselors align their goals and activities to the school’s mission and support the academic achievement of all students as they prepare for the ever-changing world of the 21st century. This mission is accomplished through the design, development, implementation and evaluation of a comprehensive, developmental and systematic school counseling program that is data driven and results-based. The key to successful implementation of a robust school counseling program is early articulation of the Annual Agreement with the principal to properly plan and align annual goals.

The School Counselor’s REACH Students Rating is based 100% on Professional Practice. The following is the breakdown of weights for each domain:

CPS Framework for School Counselors
Domain Weights for Professional Practice

- Domain 1: Planning and Preparation
- Domain 2: Environment
- Domain 3: Delivery of Service
- Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities
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It’s important to remember, it may not be possible to observe every element of each component in the CPS Framework for School Counselors. Evaluators should use pre- and post-observation conferences to gather evidence regarding practice and delivery of services observed.

Refer to the CPS Framework for School Counselors Companion Guide for details about gathering evidence for components, including recommendations for discussion during the pre- and post-observation conferences.

**REACH Students Guidance for Observing School Counselors**

At the start of each school year, evaluators and School Counselors are encouraged to meet to discuss counseling program goals, resources, and expectations, especially through completion of the Annual Agreement. In some cases, elementary School Counselors that opt into case management responsibilities through the ODLSS process, should meet with their evaluators to complete the Framework Selection Form for Case Managers. CPS does not have a “one size fits all” procedure for handling case management. This guidance document outlines REACH observation procedures as well as a few best practices for making the process as successful as possible.

**Annual Agreement**

The Annual Agreement is a tool provided by the Office of School Counseling and Postsecondary Advising and can be used to address the roles and responsibilities of the school counselor as well as how the School Counseling Program will be organized to meet goals. School counselors and evaluators are encouraged to complete the Annual Agreement meeting early in the year to discuss time distribution, school counseling program needs and goals.

**Framework Selection**

During the development of the Annual Agreement, the School Counselor who has been nominated as the case manager and the evaluator will determine which framework best fits the School Counselor’s roles and responsibilities. School Counselors will choose either:

- CPS Framework for School Counselors (which is adaptable to include case management duties)
- CPS Framework for Educational Support Specialists (ESS)

*Please note the Office of School Counseling and Postsecondary Advising recommend the use of the CPS Framework for School Counselors. If the CPS ESS Framework is deemed the best fit for school counselors, then the Framework Selection Form for Case Managers must be completed.*
Evidence Based Implementation Plan (EBIP)

The Evidence Based Implementation Plan (EBIP) is a tool provided by the Office of School Counseling and Postsecondary Advising which includes a calendar, action plan(s), lesson plan(s), etc., to ensure that a structured, intentional approach is in place to address the academic, career and personal/social development of all students. This can be an additional point of discussion in completing the Annual Agreement and/or uploaded as evidence during the REACH Performance Evaluation process.

Counselor Resources

- Evidence Based Implementation Plan
- Annual Agreement
- Framework Selection Form for Case Managers

The CPS Framework for School Counselors Companion Guide is the source for appropriate artifacts to upload as evidence, definitions and examples of practice within each domain and component.

The REACH Framework for School Counselors Database of Resources is available on the Knowledge Center for School Counselors interested in accessing lesson plans, other documents, photos and videos specific to their practice. All resources are categorized by activity, grade level and by domains and components. Please see the Office of School Counseling and Postsecondary Advising for more resources.
Framework for Educational Support Specialists (ESS)
Framework for Educational Support Specialists

The CPS Framework for Educational Support Specialists (ESS) may be used for educators who do not teach students on a regular basis. Educators who may be evaluated under the ESS Framework may include (but are not limited to): IB Coordinators, STEM Coordinators, Case Managers, Instructional Coaches, Deans, Bilingual Leads, Counselors serving primarily as Case Manager, etc.

The Educational Support Specialists’ REACH Students Rating is based 100% on Professional Practice. The domain weights are noted below:

CPS Framework for Educational Support Specialists
Domain Weights for Professional Practice

- Domain 1: Planning and Preparation
- Domain 2: The Environment
- Domain 3: Delivery of Service & Support
- Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

- Domain 1: Planning and Preparation: 10%
- Domain 2: The Environment: 25%
- Domain 3: Delivery of Service & Support: 40%
- Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities: 25%
Frameworks for Related Service Providers (RSP)
Frameworks

Related Service Providers

A Related Service Provider (RSP) is a specialist who directly improves student outcomes by increasing access to the curriculum and learning environment. Each RSP discipline has a Framework that defines their specific practices. Companion guides that provide specific discipline Frameworks are available for the following: (list School Nursing, Speech-Language Pathology, School Psychology, School Social Work)

Educators evaluated using these Frameworks receive a final REACH Student Rating based 100% on Professional Practice.

The Office of Diverse Learner Supports and Services (ODLSS) determines which school-level administrators will evaluate RSPs assigned to multiple schools based on the allocated time yearly.

Evaluator Assignments for RSPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODLSS Evaluators</th>
<th>School-Level Administrators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● All formal observations and case review conferences for RSPs on Professional Development or Remediation Plans.</td>
<td>● All formal observations for RSPs* on Biennial plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● All formal observations and case review conferences for RSPs on Annual plans.</td>
<td>* SLP, SSW, PSY only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● All case review conferences for RSPs on Biennial plans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: ODLSS administrators will conduct the case review once the formal observation is complete.

Other CPS Frameworks with Critical Attributes

Critical attributes exist for RSP frameworks as well. RSPs may refer to the critical attributes found on the RSP Framework for additional clarity.

Visit the CPS Employee Intranet for information regarding the RSP evaluation policies and procedures.
REACH Observations and Evaluation Plans
CPS Ratings Overview

CPS Frameworks are used to evaluate educators and promote professional conversations to improve teaching and learning.

CPS Frameworks are organized into four domains: Planning and Preparation; The Classroom Environment; Instruction; and Professional Responsibilities. Each domain has 4-6 components. Each component has four levels: Unsatisfactory, Basic, Proficient, and Distinguished. It is important to recognize that levels of performance refer to the educator's instructional and professional practices, not the educator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of Performance</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Distinguished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Little or None</td>
<td>Some Inconsistent Partial</td>
<td>Most Consistent Clear</td>
<td>All Complex Leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Indicators

- Little or None
- Unclear
- Not Aligned
- Some
- Inconsistent
- Partial
- Most
- Consistent
- Clear
- All
- Complex
- Leadership
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The Frameworks should guide professional and student growth, and are used by administrators and educators during observations to determine current levels of performance and promote reflection on practice. In using the Framework to evaluate an educator’s practice educator, evaluators should consider the preponderance of the evidence.

Determining an Evaluation Plan Overview

The specific timing and type of observations are determined by the assigned evaluation plan. Every CPS educator is on an Annual or Biennial plan. The tables below highlight the difference between each of the plans.

**Probationary Appointed Teachers (PATs)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probationary Appointed Teachers (PATs) Annual Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Three observations conducted within a single school year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- At least two of which shall be formal observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Observations are separated by at least one calendar month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tenured Educators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenured Educators</th>
<th>Annual Plan</th>
<th>Biennial Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A most recent REACH Student Rating of Developing</td>
<td>A most recent REACH Students Rating of Proficient or Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Three observations conducted within a single school year.</td>
<td>- Three observations conducted across the span of two school years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- At least two of which shall be formal observations.</td>
<td>- At least two of which shall be formal observations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Observations are separated by at least one calendar month.</td>
<td>- Observations are separated by at least three calendar months.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tenured educators with an unsatisfactory rating are placed on a Remediation Plan. Please reference the Professional Development Plan/Remediation pages for more.

Additional plan type notes:

- Part-time educators are placed on the Biennial plan.
- Temporarily Assigned Teachers (TAT) - Evaluations for TATs are not required. Collective Bargaining Agreement article 23-6.1 provides information related to circumstances where observations are conducted. Educators are encouraged to reach
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out to CTU representative and/or Educator Effectiveness team (rlssupport@cps.edu) with any questions related to their individual circumstances.

REACH observations are conducted by evaluators certified by the Illinois State Board of Education. In the event that the principal and assistant principal in a building are unable to conduct observation due to unexpected circumstances, CPS may appoint a certified evaluator to conduct the evaluations.

It is important to note that additional classroom visits by school colleagues, network teams, school leadership teams, and/or individuals (e.g. peer observations, walkthroughs, snapshots) may still occur outside of REACH observations. However, these non-evaluative classroom visits do not count as formal or informal observations and will not contribute towards an educator’s REACH Summative Rating.
REACH Best Practices Overview

The CPS/CTU Joint Committee on educator evaluation has developed the following best practices. Best practices are guidance to educators and evaluators based on lessons learned at CPS schools where REACH has successfully worked well over the last several years.

Best Practices for Scheduling REACH Observations

- The principal leads the development of a year-long REACH observation schedule that is completed prior to the first day of observations. The principal shares this document (or a summary) with educators (ILT, PPC, PPLC). This is a fluid document that is subject to change based on school scheduling circumstances.
- Educators are encouraged to check-in with administrators to get a general idea of when observations will occur.
- Upon returning from an extended leave, the evaluator should not observe an educator for REACH purposes for at least two weeks of instruction, unless a failure to observe will result in an Inability to Rate (ItR).
- Nothing shall impede principals and APs from conducting additional formative, non-REACH observations of educators.

There are two types of observations: formal and informal

Educators shall have three observations by qualified evaluators during their evaluation cycles, at least two of which shall be formal observations.

Formal observation steps

- **Pre-observation conference** (Focused on Domain 1)
- **Observation** (Focused on Domains 2 and 3)
- **Post-observation conference** (Focused on Component 4a and reflection on the observation)

Pre-Observation Conference Best Practices

Prior to the Pre-Observation Conference

- Evaluator needs to provide 48 hours (two school days) notice to the educator.
- Evaluators should use educator-directed preparation periods to conduct pre- and post-observation conferences.
- In elementary schools, the educator and evaluator should identify the content that will be observed, (e.g. writing, math, literacy, science, social studies), and unit of instruction to be observed.
- In high schools, the educator and evaluator should identify the type of class where the observation will occur (e.g. algebra, Spanish 2 honors, senior English) and unit of instruction to be observed.
• Educators are encouraged to complete the pre-observation protocol and upload the unit and/or lesson plan in RLS prior to the conference.

During the Pre-Observation Conference
• The evaluator and educator should reference relevant Addendum, Critical Attributes, pre- and post-observation protocols, and/or other REACH documents. The evaluator and educator refer to the Remediation Plan or Professional Development Plan to guide progress and feedback (if applicable).
• The evaluator will not use the educators non-completion of pre-observation conference protocol as the sole justification for ratings. Evaluator summarizes evidence provided in protocol and relevant parts of discussion to provide a clear written rationale for ratings.
• Any additions, uploads or edits by the educator to the pre-observation conference section in RLS after the conference should only take place with the evaluator’s knowledge.

Best Practices for Classroom Observations
Observations
• Observations occur within five school days, and no sooner than the next school day following the pre-observation conference.
• Formal observations shall be 45 minutes in duration, the length of the class period OR the length of the lesson. All framework components are rated in a formal observation.
• Evaluators may discreetly interact with students. Evaluators may ask students questions that help illuminate their understanding of objectives, relevance, and assessment practices.
  ○ For example, when students are working independently, evaluators may ask individuals questions like: “What are you working on? Why are you working on this? Will you get a grade? What feedback will you get on this work?”
• The same evaluator shall conduct the pre-observation conference, observation, and post-observation conference.
• Audio and/or video recordings are not permitted by either the educator or evaluator during any part of REACH observations.

Post-Observation Conference Best Practices
Prior to the post-observation conference
• Evaluator schedules a post-observation conference no sooner than three school days following the observation.
• Evaluator shares evidence in RLS with enough time for educators to review.
• Educator reviews evidence against the framework to generate professional dialogue with the observer.
• Educator gathers pertinent evidence for supporting artifacts (e.g. student work, exit slips, quizzes) from the observation period.
● Educator completes post-observation protocol in RLS with enough time for the evaluator to review.

**During the post-observation conference**

- It is recommended for the evaluator and educator to reference the post-observation protocol.
- Evaluator and educator reference relevant Addendum, Critical Attributes, and/or other REACH documents posted throughout the Knowledge Center.
- Evaluator and educator refer to Remediation or Professional Development Plan to guide progress and feedback (if applicable).
- Evaluator will not use the educator’s non-completion of Post-Conference Protocol as the sole justification for ratings. Evaluator summarizes evidence provided in Protocol and relevant parts of discussion to provide a clear rationale for ratings.
- Evaluator shares preliminary component-level ratings for discussion. Ratings are not finalized until after the post-observation conference.
- Evaluator shares final component-level ratings with the educator in RLS within five (5) school days of the post-observation conference.
- Evaluator and educator discuss evidence for components 4b-4e once per evaluation cycle.

**Informal Observations Best Practices**

**Observations**

- Informal observations are a minimum of 15 minutes and are unannounced. The focus is on Domain 2 and Domain 3 and ratings are provided in components for which there is sufficient evidence.
- The evaluator will inform the educator when the observation is an informal observation either upon arrival in the classroom or promptly following the observation.
- Informal observations are occasions for more targeted coaching. It is an opportunity to focus on specific components, such as those discussed in a prior post-observation conference, in order to improve practice.
- Evaluators may discreetly interact with students. Evaluators ask students questions that help illuminate their understanding of objectives, relevance, and assessment practices.
  - For example, when students are working independently, evaluators may ask individuals questions like: “What are you working on? Why are you working on this? Will you get a grade? What feedback will you get on this work?”
- Audio and/or video recordings are not permitted by either the educator or evaluator during any part of REACH observations.
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After the Informal Observation

- The evaluator shares evidence in RLS with enough time for the educator to review.
- The educator can request a post-observation conference to take place within 3-10 school days following the Informal observation date.
  - The educators should make this request up to five school days from the observation date.
- If the educator or evaluator requests a post-observation conference, the evaluator will not finalize scores in RLS until after the post-observation conference has occurred.

4b-4e Professional Responsibilities Overview

REACH evaluation components 4b-4e, otherwise known as Professional Responsibilities is an opportunity for educators to demonstrate how they've improved their Professional Practice, communicated with and engaged the families of their students throughout the school year.

Educators plan types who require 4b-4e ratings

- Probationary Appointed Teachers (PATs)
- Tenured Annual
- Tenured Biennial 2nd Year
- Tenured Biennial 2nd Year Carryover
- Part-Time Biennial 2nd Year

Attendance

Attendance is one of the five elements of Component 4e: Demonstrating Professionalism. Evaluators must always consider the preponderance of evidence across the entire component when issuing ratings. It is not appropriate for an evaluator to assign more weight to Attendance than Integrity and Ethical Conduct, Advocacy, Decision-Making, or Compliance with School and District Regulations. An evaluator may not create local school criteria regarding attendance and apply them as part of the REACH Evaluation process.

Educators are encouraged to be mindful of the importance of punctuality and regular attendance, but should not be deterred from appropriately using contractual benefit time. Educators must follow their school’s absence monitoring procedures (e.g. reporting, substitute plans, etc.) when taking a benefit day.

It is considered misconduct if an educator abuses sick or personal business benefit days, or uses absences to avoid the REACH process.
Examples of conduct that may merit disciplinary action include but are not limited to:

- Repeated tardiness.
- Repeated absences on days when pre- and post-observation conferences are scheduled or on days between the conferences for purposes of avoiding the REACH process.
- Repeated unplanned absences with short notice.
- Short notice of planned absences.
- Planned or unplanned absences on key dates for the school (report card pick-up, Professional Development (PD) days, testing days, special event days).
- Repeated Friday/Monday, the day before a holiday/break absences.
- Excessive numbers of days off without a leave of absence (LOA).
- Use of sick days for other than personal illness.

Ratings are issued once and at the end of the evaluation cycle
If an educator on a Biennial plan submits evidence for components 4b–4e in Year 1 of the plan cycle, the evaluator should consider that evidence as well as any evidence they document in year two when issuing final ratings.

- Professional Practice (4b-4e) evidence submission via RLS
  Evidence for 4b–4e can be captured as a brief narrative that reflects the educator's Professional Practice throughout the school year.
  - Up to two artifacts per component may be submitted, but a thoughtful description may take place of uploading documents into RLS.

What happens after evidence has been entered into RLS?
- Educators are encouraged to enter evidence by the end of March in order to receive feedback from their evaluator.
- Evaluators are encouraged to review the evidence and provide feedback by mid-April.
- Educators make final edits to the evidence by the end of April.
- Evaluators review final evidence and share final ratings.

For more information on how to approach 4b to 4e, educators are encouraged to visit the annual Guidance for Components 4b-4e that's posted on the Employee Intranet page.
Student Growth
Student Growth Overview (Category A Educators)

All educators on either CPS Framework for Teaching or the CPS Framework for Librarians who provide course-related instruction and contribute to the assignment of student grades most administer REACH Performance Tasks and will be eligible to receive REACH PTStudent Growth scores. To ensure the accuracy of those scores, educators are strongly encouraged to complete Roster Verification and enter (2) BOY Performance Task scores and the corresponding (2) EOY Performance Tasks scores into Checkpoint for the same groups of students. REACH Performance Task scores can not be entered into Checkpoint outside of the designated BOY, 3rd Quarter MOY, 4th Quarter MOY, or EOY PT Windows.

Roster Verification (RV) Overview

RV is the opportunity for administrators and educators to review and verify the students and performance tasks that will be used to calculate the student growth measures within REACH evaluations. RV occurs in Spring ANNUALLY and RV dates will be published in the Teacher Newsletter, the weekly Assessment Update the REACH PT Intranet by no later than the 3rd Quarter.

Participation

RV is particularly important for educators in more complex situations, as they might not be captured completely by the district’s data systems, this includes educators who:

- **Co-teachers who share students:**
  - Verify that all I Performance Tasks and students they expect to receive PT scores for are represented

- **Transfer teachers and/or Hired mid-year:**
  - Verify Performance Tasks and students administered during the BOY for the students they are currently teaching at their new school or who they were responsible for teaching for the longest period of time.

While RV is an optional process, it is used to determine which PTs and students are included in a teacher’s REACH student growth scores. Any Class or Performance Task Rosters that are not updated or submitted will be used as is, so participation is strongly encouraged. Roster Verification occurs in three phases over approximately seven weeks. Educators participate in phase 2, The Verification Window.
• Teachers and administrators should complete the Roster Verification process as early as possible during the 3-week window as technical support becomes very strained close to the deadline.
• Extensions are not feasible as phases cannot overlap for data integrity reasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Who Participates</th>
<th>What happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. School Setup Window</td>
<td>2 Weeks</td>
<td>Principals and School Support teams</td>
<td>Principals assign support teams and complete an initial review of the rosters loaded for their school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Educator Verification Window</td>
<td>3 Weeks</td>
<td>Educators (with Principals and support teams supporting)</td>
<td>Educators verifying Performance Task Rosters: Which tasks and students do educators expect to receive Performance Task credit for?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Principal Review and Approval Window</td>
<td>2 Weeks</td>
<td>Principals (with support team support)</td>
<td>Principals then approve the educator-verified rosters and submit the school as complete when they are done. This concludes the Roster Verification process and all data is final after this point.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resources

• Roster Verification Resources are available on the Intranet REACH Roster Verification and Performance Task pages.
• After reviewing training and resources, educators with questions should contact the following:
  • Educators should contact their school-based Roster Verification support team or their administrator.
  • Email the RV Manager: RosterVerification@cps.edu.
  • Email the Performance Task Manager: reachperformancetasks@cps.edu
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Performance Task (PT) Overview
(Category A Educators)
REACH Performance Tasks (PT), developed by teams of CPS educators, are a written or hands-on demonstration of mastery, or progress towards mastery, of key standards or skills. PTs require students to perform or to generate meaning on their own rather than selecting answers from a predetermined list. They can yield rich formative insights into not only what students know and do not yet know, but also how they apply their knowledge to complex questions or tasks.

Performance Task Ordering and Administration
Performance Tasks will be administered at the beginning and the end of the school year to the same group of students. Educators can obtain their PTs in two ways:

1. Educators can place an order for their tasks through the BOY or EOY PT Order Forms available on the PT Intranet page through the Department of Student Assessment. PTs ordered during the BOY or EOY Order Window will be delivered to schools on a rolling basis.
   a. Semester, quarterly and/or rotational teachers should order their PTs during the appropriate PT Order Windows, and wait to administer BOY PTs to the last group of students they will teach (eg as early as possible during the beginning of 2nd Semester or the 4th Quarter).

2. Educators who miss the BOY/EOY order deadline can download the PT documents from the REACH PT Intranet page and print the necessary materials independently.

For a list of available tasks, visit the REACH Performance Task page of the Intranet. If there are no REACH PTs that are aligned to the instruction a teacher provides, teachers must follow the guidelines provided in the REACH Performance Task Manual to create their own BOY PTs, which must be approved by their principal.

Important: Temporarily Assigned Teachers (TATs) and substitutes who are covering a classroom for an educator who is currently on leave, must administer the appropriate Performance Tasks if the coverage occurs during the BOY or EOY performance task administration window.

Performance Task Score Entry
Educators enter their students’ PTs scores into the Checkpoint Student Assessment System. To ensure that PT scores are entered correctly, educators should utilize the associated PT assessment scoring guides and video resources found on the REACH Performance Task page of the Employee Intranet. All scores must be entered by the last day of the established PT administration window.
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Performance Task Audit

- All PTs are eligible to be audited by the Department of Student Assessment & MTSS, which conducts a PT Audit on an annual basis.
- All PTs for the current school year must be held at the school-level for **two** calendar years (e.g. SY 2021-2022 PTs should be retained until December 31, 2024).
- Administrators and educators will be notified at the end of the EOY administration window whether individual audit participation is required.
- Additional guidance regarding the PT Audit is available in the REACH Performance Task Manual.
REACH Summative Reports
REACH Summative Reports Overview

The information outlined below represents different types of reports that educators may see in their historical RLS data.

The REACH Summative Report provides details about the measures used to calculate an educator’s REACH Students Summative Rating. The table below provides a summary of each of the three reports.

Please note that there were no reports generated for the 2020-2021 school year and the uniqueness of the 2019-2020 school year required the end of the year calculations to be based on this agreement outlined in this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Type</th>
<th>Plan Type(s)</th>
<th>Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>● Biennial 1st Year</td>
<td>● May include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○ Professional Practice Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○ Value-Added Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○ Performance Task Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>● Probationary Appointed Teacher (PATs)</td>
<td>● May include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Biennial 2nd Year</td>
<td>○ Professional Practice Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Biennial 2nd Year Carryover</td>
<td>○ Value-Added Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Tenured Annual</td>
<td>○ Performance Task Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Will include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○ REACH Students Total Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○ REACH Students Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informational</td>
<td>● Temporary Assigned Teachers (TATs)</td>
<td>● May include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Educators who worked less than 150 days†</td>
<td>○ Professional Practice Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○ Value-Added Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>○ Performance Task Score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Educators who work less than 150 days will receive a No Rating. For more information please reference the Tenure Attainment section for more.

Interpreting REACH Summative Reports

Each REACH Evaluation Measure (i.e. Professional Practice, Performance Tasks, Value-Added) is scored on a sale of 1.00 - 4.00. Each score is multiplied by its associated weight to get a total number of points per measure. These totals are combined to determine a REACH Summative Rating.
Interpreting Professional Practice Results
The Professional Practice Score is expressed as a number ranging from 1.0-4.0 and this number is multiplied by 100 to determine the professional practice score. It is based on ratings from the educator’s REACH observations. Professional Practice Scores are calculated for educators who received the required number of REACH observations for their evaluation plan.

Interpreting Performance Task Growth Results
BOY and EOY assessments are designed to measure the same standard at the same level of difficulty at two different points in the school year. The percentage of students who make growth from the BOY to EOY will be factored into an educator’s performance task growth score. For PTs, “growth” is defined as moving up at least one performance level on the REACH Summative Scale from BOY to EOY (e.g. 0 → 1, 1 → 3, etc.). Note: if a student begins at the highest level (3) at the BOY and retains that score at the EOY, then that is also counted as “growth” for purposes of REACH. An educator's PTs score is based on the total percentage of students that grow, not the overall magnitude of growth.

Examples below illustrate whether or not an individual student has grown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOY Score</th>
<th>EOY Score</th>
<th>Counts as Growth?</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Because the student has already topped out the scale in BOY, a 3–3 score counts as growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This student grew, though the amount of growth does not affect the score.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>If a student receives the same non-3 score in BOY and EOY, no growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>If the EOY score is less than the BOY, no growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reflect and Learn System (RLS)
Reflect and Learn Overview

The Reflect and Learn System (RLS) facilitates professional dialogue and meaningful feedback between CPS educators and evaluators to better serve the needs of Chicago’s students. Throughout the evaluation cycle, evaluators use RLS to collect evidence, align evidence to components, and enter component-level ratings. Educators are encouraged to use RLS to upload relevant documentation for observation cycles and professional responsibilities components, as well as view their observation cycle evidence, ratings and REACH Summative Reports.

During the school year, educators can access RLS for:

- **Evaluator evidence**: Educators can view evaluator evidence for each scored component after the evaluator has entered and shared these items in RLS.

- **Component-level ratings after a post-observation conference**: Educators can review evidence that an evaluator has entered and shared in RLS.

- **Artifacts and documents**: Educators are encouraged to complete and upload relevant materials into RLS to support their evaluation cycles.
  - Relevant items may include protocol(s) for pre- and post-observation conference questions.
  - Excessive uploading of documents is discouraged.

- **Resources, Technical Guides and On Demand Videos**: Educators can use the Help & Resources tab for guides and documents on how to interact with every aspect of RLS. Resources for educators include:
  - Accessing the Preliminary Professional Practice Score (PPPS) and REACH Summative Report
  - Accessing archived evaluations
  - Completing the pre- and post-observation conference
  - Gathering evidence/artifacts for RSPs
  - Viewing Feedback and Levels of Performance
  - Gathering evidence for Professional Responsibilities

- **REACH Summative Report**: Access to issued reports can always be found on the educator’s RLS homepage.

*Educators can access the Reflect and Learn System by visiting reflectandlearn.cps.edu and entering their CPS Username and Password.*
Tenure Attainment
Tenure Benefits Overview
The State of Illinois grants tenure after an educator has successfully completed their probationary period. Tenure is recognized as a property right, which protects educators in public schools from arbitrary dismissal.

Tenure Attainment Rules
For all Probationary Appointed Teachers (PATs) hired on or after July 1, 2013, tenure attainment, as defined by the IL School Code (105 ILCS 5/34-84) and CPS/CTU Contract #Art23-5.5, is determined by:

- Full-time service during a school term, i.e., at least 150 days.
- Annual REACH Students Ratings.
- Number of years worked at CPS.

Full-time Service in a School-term
- All PATs must work as ‘full-time service’ in order to have the school year contribute to their CPS tenure attainment. The IL School Code (105 ILCS 5/34-84) and CPS/CTU Contract #Art23-5.5 defines ‘full-time service’ as an educator who worked at least 150 days during the school term.
- Educators who have worked less than 150 days in a school year, may receive an Informational Report with a REACH Summative Rating, however, this will not be used toward the attainment of tenure.
- Any days worked as a Temporary Assigned Teacher (TAT) will not count toward tenure unless you are hired as a PAT for that position, worked a full school term, and received the appropriate number of observations and rating.
- Educators working part-time cannot attain tenure and have no tenure rights.
Tenure Attainment Track
The table below outlines the three different tenure attainment tracks along with the required REACH Students Ratings for each year. Remember a Probationary Appointed Teacher (PATs) current and prior REACH Students Ratings have an impact on their ability to attain tenure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Track</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Accelerated 3 Year Track</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Tenured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Proficient or Excellent in Yr 2 and 4 Track</td>
<td>Any Rating</td>
<td>Proficient or Excellent</td>
<td>Any Rating</td>
<td>Proficient or Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Proficient or Excellent in Yr 3 and 4 Track</td>
<td>Any Rating</td>
<td>Any Rating</td>
<td>Proficient or Excellent</td>
<td>Proficient or Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information on tenure attainment and a more in depth explanation, please visit the Tenure page on the Employee Intranet.
Employment Considerations
Order of Layoffs Overview
REACH Students Summative Rating affects the order in which educators are laid off. Within a school and content area/certification and seniority within each category, educators are laid off in the following order:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layoff Order</th>
<th>REACH Students Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>All Unsatisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PAT Developing Emerging (210-250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PAT Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PAT Proficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PAT Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tenured Developing Emerging (210-250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tenured Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tenured Proficient and Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAT Non-Renewal Overview
REACH Students Ratings could have an impact on whether a PAT is subject to the non-renewal process. Every year principals make renewal recommendations based on projected REACH Students Ratings calculated in the spring. A PAT with a projected rating of:

- Proficient or Excellent† may not be recommended for non-renewal for 2022-2023.
- Developing or Unsatisfactory may be recommended for non-renewal for 2022-2023.

Non-renewed PATs are notified during the current school year.

†PATs are subject to layoff or displacement due to budget and/or enrollment changes that may occur at the end of the school year.
Professional Development Plan Overview

A Professional Development Plan (PDP) is required for tenured educators with a most recent REACH Students Rating of Developing (210-284). Tenured educators under all CPS Frameworks are subject to this process.

- Within a month of receiving their rating, the educator and current evaluator co-create a PDP with the goal of improving the educator's professional practice.
- The educator will remain on the PDP throughout the course of one school year.
- The PDP is regularly revisited during observations throughout each step of the evaluation cycle.

The chart below illustrates the different outcomes of a Professional Development Plan.

*Some tenured educators may receive two-consecutive REACH Developing ratings within the Emerging Range and will default to an Unsatisfactory rating and require a Remediation Plan.
Two-Consecutive Developing Rule
There are two different ways to receive an Unsatisfactory REACH Students Rating.

1. Receiving a REACH Students Rating of Unsatisfactory (100-209).
2. Two-Consecutive Developing Rule, which defaults an educator to an Unsatisfactory REACH Students Rating.

All tenured educators who receive a Developing rating in two-consecutive school years within the Developing Emerging Range (210-250), will receive a REACH Students Rating of Unsatisfactory and will require a Remediation Plan.

The exception to this rule is when educators with a Professional Practice Score of Proficient (2.85) or better in the second year. Their rating will remain in the Developing range and will only require a new PDP.
**Remediation Plans Overview**

A Remediation Plan is required for tenured educators with the most recent REACH Students Rating of Unsatisfactory. Tenured educators under all Frameworks are subject to this process.

- Within a month of receiving a REACH Students Rating of Unsatisfactory, the educator, current evaluator, and Consulting Educator (CE) create a Remediation Plan with the goal of improving the educator’s professional practice.
- The CE is assigned to work with the educator during the term of the Remediation period.
- The educator will remain on the Remediation Plan for 90 school days of educator and student attendance.

The chart below illustrates the different outcomes of a Remediation Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenured educator with a most recent REACH Students Rating of <strong>UNSATISFACTORY</strong> (including educators who have their Remediation Plans rolled over from 2021-2022 school year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Remediation Plan is required for SY 2022-2023**

**90th Day Final Formal Observation REACH Students Rating**

- **Unsatisfactory** 100-209
- **Developing** 210-284
- **Proficient** 285-339
- **Excellent** 340-400

**Unsuccessful** Subject to ISBE Dismissal Hearing

**Successful**

**SY 2023-24:** Move to Annual Plan & No Remediation Plan or PDP is Required

---
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Grievance Process

Educators have 45 school days, after the release of the REACH Summative Report in the RLS, to file a grievance if they believe a procedural mistake affected their overall rating during the evaluation process. The educator may ask the Chicago Teachers Union (CTU) for assistance with the grievance process or file a grievance on their own. All grievances alleging procedural errors in the rating process should be filed directly with the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) at Central Office, and not with the principal.

Appeals Process

Upon the release of the REACH Summative Report, educators have 10 (calendar) days to file a Notice of Intent to Appeal and 30 (calendar) days to submit evidence support the appeal in RLS. Each submitted appeal will be reviewed by a four-member committee consisting of ISBE-Certified evaluators (2 retired administrators and 2 retired educators) selected by the CTU and CPS. The appeals process is available to:

- Educators who receive a REACH Students Rating of Unsatisfactory.
- Tenured educators who receive a REACH Students Rating of Developing but fall within the Developing Emerging Range (210-250).
- Educators laid off out of seniority due to a REACH Students Rating of Unsatisfactory or Developing.

IMPORTANT: The filing of an appeal does not delay Remediation or any actions such as non-renewal or layoff. If the appeal is granted, any actions determined to be the result of a faulty rating will be reversed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal Outcomes</th>
<th>Educators Eligible to Submit a 2021-2022 Appeal</th>
<th>If Granted a New 2021-2022 Rating</th>
<th>Implications for the SY 2022-2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenured Educators with an Unsatisfactory Rating</td>
<td>Developing 250 Score</td>
<td>Removed from the Remediation Plan and placed on a Professional Development Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenured Educators with a Developing Emerging Rating (210-250)</td>
<td>Developing 250 Score</td>
<td>Remain on a Professional Development Plan No longer eligible for the Two-Consecutive Developing Rule default Unsatisfactory.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATs with an Unsatisfactory Rating</td>
<td>Developing 250 Score</td>
<td>The non-renewal decision stands.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the appeal is DENIED, then the 2021-2022 REACH Students Rating will remain.
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Resources
Employee Intranet  REACH Overview

The **REACH section** on the Employee Intranet is a one-stop repository of information and resources specific to REACH. This section was designed to assist in facilitating an ongoing dialogue between evaluators and educators to improve professional practice and increase student growth. Within the REACH section, educators will be able to access materials and resources including:

- REACH observations: including pre- and post-observation conference protocol.
- Frameworks and companion guides.
- Educator professional practice and student growth measures.
- Professional Learning Resources.
- Tenure Attainment.
**Lead with CPS Overview**

The [Lead with CPS](#) website is a one-stop resource, provided by the district, that connects educators with career pathway opportunities and showcases leadership examples within CPS. **Lead with CPS** provides leadership framework pathways and examples across various role types. Within this website educators will discover tangible ways to professionally grow as a leader for either their current role or through others.
CTU Quest Center Overview

The Chicago Teachers Union Foundation QUEST CENTER for Professional Learning and Teacher Leadership is a resource that facilitates regular professional development opportunities for CPS educators. All sessions offer ISBE Professional Development Hours, and some courses offer an additional CPS Lane Placement Credit option.

- All CTU Quest Center offerings are driven by the components of the CPS Framework for Teaching.
- Upcoming offerings with descriptions are posted on https://www.ctuf.org/questcenter/, advertised in the Chicago Union newspaper and sent through CTU e-blasts.
Appendix
# Links to REACH Related Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Links</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **REACH Frameworks for school based personnel** | CPS Framework for Teaching with Critical Attributes  
CPS SPED Framework with Critical Attributes  
CPS Framework for Teacher-Librarians with Critical Attributes  
CPS Framework for School Counselors  
CPS Framework for Educational Support Specialists |
| **REACH Addenda for school based personnel** | Arts Addendum  
EL Addendum 2.0  
Librarians Companion Guide  
Preschool-2nd Grade Addendum  
School Counselor Companion Guide  
SPED Addendum and REACH Guidance for Co-Teaching Settings |
| **REACH Frameworks for Related Service Providers (RSP)** | CPS Framework for Speech-Language Pathology + Critical Attributes  
CPS Framework for School Social Work + Critical Attributes  
CPS Framework for School Psychology + Critical Attributes  
CPS Framework for School Nursing + Critical Attributes |
| **REACH Addenda for Related Service Providers (RSP)** | Speech-Language Pathology Companion Guide  
School Social Worker Companion Guide 2.0  
School Psychology Companion Guide  
School Nursing Companion Guide |
| **2022-2023 REACH Handbook** | |
| **Other REACH Documents** | REACH Best Practices  
CPS Framework for Teaching Placemat Companion Guide 3.0 |
| **Performance Task Manual** | REACH Performance Task Administration Manual (available 8.15.22) |